



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/043,926	O	01/11/2002	Timo Rantalainen	874.0103.U1(US) 9260	
29683	7590	05/19/2006		EXAMINER .	
		MITH, LLP	DOAN, KIET M		
4 RESEARCH DRIVE SHELTON, CT 06484-6212				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•				2617	

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/043,926	RANTALAINEN, TIMO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Kiet Doan	2617		

Before the filling of all Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Kiet Doan	2617							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.							
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or						
	following time periods:  The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI ).	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILEI	OWT NIHTIW C						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL									
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a since of Appeal has been filed.</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.						
AMENDMENTS									
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		because						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bei		educing or simplifying	the issues for						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).									
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s									
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	•	•	-						
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ w vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered is necessary						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER									
11. Σ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See office action.	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)									
,	C)se	dorge Long GEORGE ENGL							

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Application/Control Number: 10/043,926

Art Unit: 2617

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This office action is response to Remarks file after Final on 04/27/2006.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 04/27/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicants argument that neither Demetrescu et al. nor Ida et al. teach or disclose "upon an occurrence of a RR procedure, including HO and CRS, that affects the mobile station, determining if a location procedure is on going in the mobile station; and

if it is, completing the location procedure and reporting measurement results in a message from the mobile station to a target radio network controller" wherein recited in claims 15 and 29.

Examiner respectfully disagrees, in **Demetrescu teaches** "upon an occurrence of a RR procedure, including HO and CRS, that affects the mobile station" (C2, L1-24, L56-67, C3, L17-55, teach radio transmission in handover and selected cell wherein measurement are report back to network, further cited in Fig.3 and 4, Illustrate handover such that affects the mobile station and it well know skill in the art that handover is from one location/position to another location/position).

Further, **Ida teaches** determining if a location procedure is on going in the mobile station (Examiner further cited Paragraphs [0053], [0057], teach mobile station moves and change it present location means as location procedure is on going in the mobile station and Fig.1, No.11, Illustrate location generating means as determining location

Application/Control Number: 10/043,926

Art Unit: 2617

procedure); and if it is, completing the location procedure and reporting measurement results in a message from the mobile station to a target radio network controller (Paragraphs [0026-0027, 0058] teach mobile station transmit measurement location to base station which means as reporting measurement results in a message from the mobile station to a target radio network controller and [0160-0164] teach registers location information which means as completing the location procedure before handoff/handover).

Page 3

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kiet Doan whose telephone number is 571-272-7863. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on 571-272-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kiet Doan

Patent Examiner